Mid-Year and End-of-Year Reporting

Section 7

Contents

Mid-Year and End-of-Year Reporting

page 7-05

FY 2001 Management Matrix

page 7-07

Mid-Year and End of Year Reporting

As indicated in the calendar of key dates in this national program guidance, mid-year and end of year reporting will be required by Regions, HQ Program Offices, and Great Water Body Offices for the annual performance measures for which they made commitments against in the FY2001 Management Agreement. The Office of Planning, Analysis, and Accountability is requiring **mid-year** information for all **Congressional** performance measures for which such information is available. End of April / beginning of May, 2000 is the projected due date for mid-year reporting. Early November, 2001, is the projected due date for **end of year** reporting for **ALL** performance measures.

Templates and guidance for reporting mid-year and end of year results will be provided several weeks before each due date. Ultimately, the information provided by HQ Program Offices, Regions, and Great Water Body Offices will be very important to the preparation of the FY 2001 Annual Performance Report to Congress.

In addition, in recognition of the highlighted Agency-wide priorities of Children's Health, Reinvention, and the Persistent, Bioaccumulative Pollutant Initiative, Regions, HQ Program Offices, and Great Water Body Offices should include with their End of Year Report a brief narrative that describes with specificity how these four cross-agency priorities were reflected in their work.

The Goal 2 Chapter for the Agency's FY00 Annual Performance Report and the final accomplishments for all FY00 annual performance measures for the National Water Program are provided on the following pages.

Comple	eted by: Mike Weckesser		1				1	1	1				1101	400/404	Madanal			0/ T1	
APM Code	Annual Performance Goal (APG)	Annual Performance Measure (APM)	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	R8	R9	R10	GWB	APG/APM Originator	Total	2001 Target	Unit	% Target Met	Comments
							l												
			1				T	T	T	T		r	1	r				т	
							<u> </u>												
							 		<u> </u>										
								ļ	ļ										
							ļ	ļ	ļ										
							ļ	ļ	ļ									-	
								<u> </u>	-										
							ļ	<u> </u>	 										
								<u> </u>	 										
								-	 										
							ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>										
							ļ		<u> </u>										
			L				L	L	L	i		I	l	·		II		·	
			L				1	L	<u> </u>	L		L	l						

Comple	eted by: Mike Weckesser																		
APM Code	Annual Performance Goal (APG)	Annual Performance Measure (APM)	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	R8	R9	R10	HQ/ GWB	APG/APM Originator	National Total	2001 Target	Unit	% Target Met	Comments
106		Risk analyses completed in support of new regulations.	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	4	OST	4	4	Analyses	100%	
108		Regulatory determinations for potentially harmful contaminants.	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	5	OGWDW	5	5	Determination s	100%	Rules may not be developed for the at least 5 contaminants determined
	Subobjective 1.3: By 2005, 50 percent of the population ser	ved by community water systems will receive their water	er from	system	s with so	ource w	ater prot	ection p	orograms	s in plac	e.								
105	States and community water systems increase efforts and programs to protect their source water resources, including ground water.	Population served by community water systems that are implementing efforts to protect their source water resources.	0.2	7.3	0.6	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.1	0.9	0.0	1.9	*	OGWDW	13.0	36.0	Million	36%	
127		CWSs implementing efforts to protect their source water resources.	350	25	40	150	200	20	50	97	0	195	*	OGWDW	1,127	6,500	CWSs	17%	
Tribal Strategy #13	By 2005, 40% of the population served by tribal community water systems will receive their water from systems with source water assessments and, where needed, source water protection programs in place.	Definitions: source water assessment: same process as for states under the SDWA and SWAP Guidance source water assessment program: contaminant source management and contingency planning "where needed:" The assessment itself should help the tribe decide whether a protection plan is needed; the releasing of the results to the public will also help.	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	OGWDW	0	No Commitment	Systems / People		Region Reporting at end of FY
	Subobjective 1.4: By 2005, increase protection of ground wa	ter resources by managing all Class I, Class II, and Class	ss III inj	ection w	vells and	by mar	naging ic	lentified	l high-ris	sk Class	V wells	in 100%	of high	priority prot	ection are	as (e.g., wellhe	ad, source "	> "ò~	t Ä "ò
111	Through the UIC program, EPA will contribute to the protection of ground water sources of drinking water from potential endangerment.	States that have formally adopted the Class V rule.	0	1	1	4	1	4	1	3	1	2	*	OGWDW	18	34	States	53%	
112		Class IV/V wells (by well type) brought under specific controls through permits or closures.	50	50	80	5	60	300	50	60	55	175	*	OGWDW	885	500	Wells	177%	
113		Issue proposed Phase 2 UIC Class V regulatory action.	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	1	OGWDW	1	1	Action	100%	more than one reg. may be developed
114		Number of wells tested for mechanical integrity. (Reporting APM)	N/A	150	150	655	1,500	20,000	3,000	106	3,913	156	*	OGWDW	29,630	30,150	Tests	98%	Change in reporting target from % to # s.
115		Injection wells losing mechanical integrity that were adequately addressed. (Reporting APM)	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	OGWDW	90%	100%	Wells	90%	
1d5		UIC wells plugged as a direct action by the UIC program or indirectly by another program working in partnership with UIC to protect ground water sources of drinking water.	N/A	35	100	40	150	1300	150	75	21	0	*	OGWDW	1,871	1,500	Wells	125%	
Tribal Strategy #14	By 2005, increase protection of groundwater resources by managing all Class I, II, and III injection wells in Indian country and by managing identified, high-risk Tribal class V wells in 100 % of high priority protection areas (e.g., Tribal priority areas, well head protection, sole source aquifer or source water protection areas.)	Injection well means all Class T.II, III, IV and V wells as defined in the regulations. "Managed" Class I, III, III, or V well is a well which is in compliance with its permit or is authorized by rule. "Managed" Class IV wells, which are banned, means eliminated through immediate action. "Identified" means known to UIC implementing agency. High priority protection areas: For the short term will be defined on a Region-specific basis and may include SSAs, WHPs, etc. For the long-term, this will be defined	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		OGWDW	0	No Commitment	Managed Wells		Region Reporting at end of FY
	Subobjective 1.5: By 2005, consumption of contaminated fis	sh and shellfish will be reduced and the percentage of v	vaters a	attaining	the des	ignated	uses pr	otecting	the con	sumptio	n of fish	n and sh	ellfish w	ill increase.					
119	Reduce consumption of contaminated fish by increasing the information available to the public and decision-makers. (Supports CWAP)	Lakes from which samples have been taken for the National Fish Tissue Survy (cumulative) and Fish samples collected by States and Regions for fish advisory decisions. (Reporting APM)			3 lakes by state; 1 lake by R3			1) 40 2) 100 (LA, OK, TX)		states/ sample s and 5 states/ adviso	N/A		256 /	OST/RT	0	250 /	Samples	102%/	
120	12% of the nation's river miles and 17% of nation's lake acres will have been assessed to determine if they contain fish and shellfish that should not be eaten or should be eaten in only limited quantities. (supports CWAP)	Lake acres assessed for the need for fish advisories and compilation of state-issued fish consumption advisory methodologies (cumulative). (Also a CPM)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	17%	OST	17%	17%	Lake Acres	100%	Region Reporting at end of FY

	ted by: Mike Weckesser		1	1	1		1	1		1	1	1	1			1		1	
APM Code	Annual Performance Goal (APG)	Annual Performance Measure (APM)	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	R8	R9	R10	HQ/ GWB	APG/APM Originator	National Total	2001 Target	Unit	% Target Met	Comments
129		Assessed river miles, lake acres, and estuary square miles that have water quality supporting designated beneficial uses, where applicable, for fish and shellfish consumption. (Also a CPM)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		OST	0	No Commitment			Region Reporting at end of FY
1e1		States/Tribes monitoring and conducting assessments based on the national guidance to establish nationally consistent fish advisories.	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	40	OST	40	40	States	100%	
1e2		River miles assessed for the need for fish consumption advisories & compilation of state-issued fish consumption advisory methodologies (cumulative). (Also a CPM)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	8%	OST	8%	12%	River Miles	67%	
Tribal Strategy #10	Fish consumption goal being developed.		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		0	No Commitment			Region Reporting at end of FY
	Subobjective 1.6: By 2005, exposure to microbial and other	forms of contamination in waters used for recreation v	vill be re	educed a	and the p	ercenta	ige of w	aters att	aining th	ne desig	nated re	creation	al uses	will increase).				
128	Reduce exposure to contaminated recreation waters by increasing the information available to the public and decision-makers. (CG)	Beaches for which monitoring and closure data is available at http://www.epa.gov/OST/beaches/(cumulative). (CM)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	2,200	OST	2,200	2,200	Beaches	100%	
130		Assessed river miles, lake acres, and estuary square miles that have water quality supporting designated beneficial uses, where applicable, for recreation. (Also a CPM)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		OST	0	No Target			
	Subobjective 1.8: By 2005, protect drinking water sources	by increasing by 50% the waters that meet the drinking	y water i	use that	States d	esignat	e under	the Clea	an Water	Act.	4	·	4			1			
116	Assess river miles, lake acres, and estuary square miles that have water quality supporting designated uses, where applicable, for drinking water supply.	Assessed river miles/lake acres/estuary square miles that have water quality supporting designated beneficial uses, where applicable, for drinking water supply. (Also a CPM)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		OGWDW	0	No Commitment	Miles, etc		Region Reporting at end of FY
	Objective 2: By 2005, conserve and enhance the ecologica communities.	l health of the nation's (state, interstate, and tribal) wat	ers and	aquatic	ecosyst	ems r	ivers an	d strean	ns, lakes	, wetlar	nds, esti	uaries, c	oastal a	reas, oceans	s, and grou	ind waters - so	that 75% of	9 "ò	∼t Ä
	Subobjective 2.1: By 2005, restore and protect watersheds	so that 75% of waters support healthy watersheds as s	hown b	y compr	ehensiv	e asses	sment o	f the na	tion's wa	atershed	is.								
2aa	Provide tools for risk characterization and decision making regarding surface water contaminants, including PBTs and nutrients, that allow States and Tribes to set and meet their own water quality standards.	Models,methods,criteria developed/available for risk characterization of surface water contaminants. (Reporting APM)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	1	OST	1	1	List	100%	
2ac / Tribal Strategy #5	Assure that States and Tribes have effective, up-to-date water quality standards programs adopted in accordance with the Water Quality Standards regulation and the Water Quality Standards program priorities. (CG)	Tribes with water quality standards adopted and approved (cumulative). (CM)	0	0	N/A	3	2	9	0	2	3	7	*	OST	26	27	Tribes	96%	
200		States with new or revised water quality standards that EPA has reviewed and approved or disapproved and promulgated federal replacement standards. (CM)	2	2	2	3	2	4	3	2	1	2	*	OST	23	30	States	77%	
201	Restore and protect estuaries through the implementation of Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plans (CCMPs). (CG)	the first CCMP in 1991 (cumulative).	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%	owow	82%	82%	Actions	100%	
202	-	Acres of habitat preserved, restored and/or created nationwide as part of the National Estuary Program (cumulative). (CM)	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	50,000	owow	50,000	50,000	Acres	100%	
203	Encourage comprehensive planning for the management of dredged material, and assure environmentally sound disposal of dredged material.	Facilitate establishment of Local Planning Groups to develop comprehensive plans for dredged material management.	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	3	owow	3	3	Groups	100%	

Comple	ted by: Mike Weckesser	,		,			-											,	
APM Code	Annual Performance Goal (APG)	Annual Performance Measure (APM)	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	R8	R9	R10	HQ/ GWB	APG/APM Originator	National Total	2001 Target	Unit	% Target Met	Comments
204		Participate in the development of local comprehensive plans for dredged material management (cumulative).	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	3	owow	3	3	Plans	100%	
205	Identify sources of marine debris along U.S. coasts.	Evaluation of data from the National Marine Debris Monitoring Program. (Reporting APM)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	1	owow	1	1	Evaluation	100%	
208	Improve habitat in the Chesapeake Bay.	Pounds reduction, from 1985 levels, of nitrogen and phosphorus loads entering Chesapeake Bay (cumulative).	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	71 & 7 million pounds	СВРО	71/7	71/7	Million Pounds	100%	
25a		Wastewater flow to the Chesapeake Bay treated by Biological Nutrient Removal (cumulative).	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	48%	СВРО	48%	49%	WW flow	98%	
26a		Acres of submerged aquatic vegetation (SAV) present in the Chesapeake Bay (cumulative).	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	78,000	СВРО	78,000	78,000	Acres	100%	
2ax		Stream miles of migratory fish habitat reopened through provision of fish passages (cumulative).	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	1,172	СВРО	1,172	1,172	Miles	100%	
2az		Miles of streambank and shoreline restored with riparian forest buffers (cumulative).	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	616	СВРО	616	616	Miles	100%	Change of Measure
209	Assist the Gulf States in implementing watershed restoration action strategies (WRAS) or their equivalent in 14 priority coastal river and estuary segments.	Impaired Gulf coastal river and estuary segments implementing WRAS or equivalent.	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	14	Gulf	14	14	Segments	100%	
210		TMDLs (1) scheduled to be completed; (2) submitted by Gulf States for segments in the coastal watershed; and (3) established by EPA and Gulf State established TMDLs approved.	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		Gulf	0	No Target	TMDLs	ERR	
211		Assessed river miles, lake acres, and estuary square miles that a) are covered under WRAS and b) were restored to their designated uses during the reporting period.	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		Gulf	0	No Target	Miles, etc	ERR	
212	Initiate 3 projects in priority coastal areas to prevent or reduce the impact of inavasive species. (Reporting APG)	Implement projects in the 5 Gulf coastal states with impairments caused by invasive aquatic species. (Reporting APM)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	3	Gulf	3	3	Projects	100%	Change of Measure
213		Assessed coastal river miles and estuary square miles impaired by invasive aquatic species in the 5 Gulf coastal states. (Reporting APM)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		Gulf	0	No Target	Miles, etc	ERR	
214	Restore and protect watersheds through implementation of Clean Water Action Plan (CWAP) strategies.	TMDLs established by EPA (cumulative). (Also a CPM)	0	1	314	100	0	108	36	0	12	60	*	owow	631	631	TMDLs	100%	
215		TMDLs scheduled to be completed by the end of 2001 (cumulative). (Also a CPM)	165	45	709	550	150	372	298	1046	74	691	*	owow	4,100	4,100	TMDLs	100%	
216		Impaired, assessed river miles, lake acres, & estuary square miles that a) are covered under WRAS and b) were restored to their designated uses during the reporting period. (Also a CPM)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		owow	0	No Commitment	Miles, etc		Region Reporting at end of FY
217		Assessed river miles, lake acres, and estuary square miles that have water quality supporting designated beneficial uses, where applicable, for aquatic life support . (Also a CPM)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		owow	0	No Commitment	Miles, etc		Region Reporting at end of FY
218		TMDLs submitted by the state (cumulative). (Also a CPM)	161	45	395	450	150	252	298	481	62	631	*	owow	2,925	2,925	TMDLs	100%	
219		State-established TMDLs approved (cumulative). (Also a CPM)	137	44	395	450	150	252	298	481	62	631	*	owow	2,900	2,900	TMDLs	100%	
220 / Tribal Strategy #2	16% of Tribes will have water quality monitoring and assessment programs appropriate for their circumstances and will be entering water quality data into EPA's national data systems.	Tribes with monitoring and assessment programs (cumulative).	2	1	N/A	0	2	27	0	20	2	24	*	owow	78	53	Tribes	148%	
	16% of Tribes will have water quality monitoring and assessment programs appropriate for their circumstances and will be entering water quality data into EPA's national data systems.	Pilot STORET/305(b) reporting projects with Tribes.	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	*	owow	2	9	Projects	22%	

APM	eted by: Mike Weckesser							l	Ī	l			HQ/	APG/APM	National			% Target	_
Code	Annual Performance Goal (APG)	Annual Performance Measure (APM)	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	R8	R9	R10	GWB	Originator	Total	2001 Target	Unit	Met	Comments
223	Water quality will improve on a watershed basis such that 550 of the Nation's 2,262 watersheds will have greater than 80 percent of assessed waters meeting all water quality standards, up from 500 watersheds in 1998. (CG)	Watersheds that have greater than 80% of assessed waters meeting all water quality standards. (CM)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	550	owow	550	550	8-digit HUCS	100%	
#1	40% of Tribes will have a "water program environmental presence" (i.e., one or more persons, as appropriate, with environmental capability to advise Tribal governments on developing and implementing programs).	Tribes with a water program presence (cumulative).	9	1	N/A	5	29	30	1	0	71	142	*	Ю	288	227	Tribes	127%	Need Regional Commitment
Tribal Strategy #3	By 2005, 15% of Tribes will be reporting information to 305(b) reports.		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		owow	0	No Commitment	Tribes		Region Reporting at end of FY
			li	L			J	L	I	I	<u> </u>			ii	L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
							I		I	T									
										ļ									
							<u></u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>									L
							ļ		ļ	ļ									
							ļ		ļ	ļ									
							ļ		ļ	ļ									
							ļ		ļ	ļ									
									<u> </u>	<u> </u>									

	eted by: Mike Weckesser			1			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	I			1		
APM Code	Annual Performance Goal (APG)	Annual Performance Measure (APM)	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	R8	R9	R10	HQ/ GWB	APG/APM Originator	National Total	2001 Target	Unit	% Target Met	Comments
312		Comprehensive methodology developed for documenting pollutants removed through increased SSO , CSO and storm water treatment, and increased wastewater treatment to secondary or better standards.	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	1	OWM	1	1	Methodology	100%	
313	Reduce point source loadings by expediting completion of projects funded under Clean Water Act Title II (construction grants) and special project STAG grants.	Construction grants projects awarded after FY91 closed out within 7 years of grant award.	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	OWM	79%	90%	Grants	88%	HQ Reporting using biannual report data
315	Protect human health and avoid increased point source loadings by helping the approximately 17,000 small U.S. wastewater treatment systems to maintain permitted performance levels.	Wastewater treatment facilities maintaining permitted performance levels through assistance under Section 104(g) of the CWA.	66	27	45	80	135	76	36	71	21	130	*	OWM	687	744	Facilities	92%	
317	Reduce human health risks and nonpoint source loadings from the approximately 11 million failing septic systems that pollute drinking water supplies, playgrounds and beaches, back up into homes and damage shellfish and other aquatic life.	States which adopt the Voluntary Management Standards Program for On-site Wastewater Treatment Systems.	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	*	OWM	1	2	States	50%	Suspend until guidelines are issued
31d	700 projects funded by the Clean Water SRF will initiate operations, including 400 projects providing secondary treatment, advanced treatment, CSO correction (freatment), and/or storm water treatment. Cumulatively, 7,200 SRF funded projects will have initiated operations since program inception. (CG)	CW SRF projects that have initiated operations (cumulative). (CM)	370	1,234	1,000	676	1,245	512	403	410	155	225	*	OWM	6,230	7,200	SRF Projects	87%	
319	Reduce point source loadings by expediting completion of projects funded under Clean Water Act Title II (construction grants) and special project STAG grants.	Construction grants projects awarded before FY92 remaining to be closed out.	9	12	12	8	18	0	1	0	5	0	*	OWM	65	45	Projects	144%	
3as		Special project STAG grants closed out within 7 years of grant award.	90%	0	100%	2	90%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	2	*	OWM	98%	90%	Grants	109%	
31i	Reduce point and nonpoint source loadings by managing the \$30 billion in CWSRF assets to encourage use of state funds for state high-priority projects.	States that are using integrated planning and priority systems to make CW SRF funding decisions (cumulative).	1	2	2	1	2	1	1	3	2	2	*	OWM	17	17	States	100%	
311		States and Puerto Rico that conduct separate annual audits of their CW SRFs	5	3	5	7	6	4	3	5	2	2	*	OWM	42	45	States	93%	
31k		States that meet or exceed "pace of the program" measures for loan issuance and construction (cumulative).	4	2	N/A	4	5	2	1	nationa I#	2		*	OWM	20	35	States	57%	
3ar		EPA will report to Congress on the pace of the Clean Water State Revolving Fund Program. (Also a CPM)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	1	OWM	1	1	Report	100%	
	Increase protection of human health in Indian Country by providing adequate wastewater sanitation to more of the 71, 028 homes in Indian Country with inadequate wastewater sanitation systems.	Homes in Indian Country whose residents are provided with adequate wastewater sanitation systems though funding from the CW SRF Tribal Set Aside Program (cumulative).	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	OWM	9%	9%	Homes	100%	
322	Industrial discharges of pollutants to the nation's waters will be significantly reduced through implementation of effluent guidelines. (CG)	Reduction in loadings for toxic pollutants for facilities subject to effluent guidelines promulgated between 1992 & 1999, as predicted by model projections. (CM)	& pa; 1 pharm	4 p&p 3 pharm; 3 land	Offsho re oil & gas-0 pestici des mfg-0; coastal oil & gas-0; pulp & paper-4; pharm aceutic al-2; landfills -14; combu stors-0	P = 7; PP = 32; Ph = 5; L = 0; C = 1; OOG = 40; COG = 5	2 pest; 14 p&p ; 6 pharm; land & comb 32	14 pulp & pap; 1 pharm; 2 pest ; 400 fac in coast o&g	0.0	1 pharm; 3 landfill	0.0	8 p&p	*	ОWМ	6.7	9.8	Pounds/ Million	68%	

APM Code	eted by: Mike Weckesser Annual Performance Goal (APG)	Annual Performance Measure (APM)	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	R8	R9	R10	HQ/ GWB	APG/APM Originator	National Total	2001 Target	Unit	% Target Met	Comments
323		Reduction in loadings for conventional pollutants for facilities subject to effluent guidelines promulgated between 1992 & 1999, as predicted by model projections. (CM)	1 pharm; 2 land	3 pharm; 3 land	Offsho re oil & gas-0 coastal oil & gas-0; pharm aceutic al-2; landfills -14; combu stors-0	1C; 40 OOG; 5 COG	ar; 32 l8	1 pharm; 400 com in coast o&g	0.0	1phar m; 3 landfill	0.0	0.0	*	OWM	88.6	552.7	Pounds/ Million	16%	
324		Reduction in loadings for non-conventional pollutants for facilities subject to effluent guidelines promulgated between 1992 and 1999, as predicted by model projections. (CM)	20 pu & pa; 1 pharm ; 2 land	4 p&p	coastal oil & gas-0; pulp &	32; Ph = 5; L = 0; C = 1; OOG = 40;													

	leted by: Mike Weckesser						1	1	i										
APM Code	Annual Performance Goal (APG)	Annual Performance Measure (APM)	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	R8	R9	R10	HQ/ GWB	APG/APM Originator	National Total	2001 Target	Unit	% Target Met	Comments
300	Reduce nonpoint source sediment and nutrient loads to rivers and streams.	Implementation plans associated with TMDLs involving sediment and/or nutrients from nonpoint sources that provide reasonable assurance that needed NPS actions will occur. (Reporting APM)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		owow	0	No Target Plans	Plans		Suspended - Base on the new TMD rule which has bee delayed by Congressional action.
301		AFOs for which Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plans (CNMPs) are developed (cumulative).	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	OWM	5%	5%	AFOs	100%	Region Reporting at end of FY
302		Clean Water SRF loaned for projects to prevent polluted runoff.	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	OWM	10%	10%	CW SRF	100%	HQ Reporting at end of FY
Tribal Strategy #7	By 2005, 50% of Indian country will have approved nonpoint source assessment and management plans.		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	owow	0	No Commitment	Tribes		Region Reporting
	Subobjective 3.4: By 2006, improve water quality by reduci dry deposition monitoring networks, and improving our un	derstanding of, and cross-media responses to, the sou												ucing depos	ition of ni	trogen by 10-15	% from 1980 le	ev	"ò~ t
329	Develop a pilot Total Maximum Daily Load fin a waterbody affected by atmospheric deposition.	Availability of a pilot TMDL in a waterbody affected by atmospheric deposition. (Reporting APM)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	1	owow	1	1	Pilot	100%	
	Goal 4: Preventing Pollution and Reducing Risk in Communication Objective 7: By 2003, 60% of Indian Country will be assessed		ill be im	plement	ing plan	s to add	Iress pri	ority iss	ues.										
800	Baseline environmental information will be collected by 34% of Tribes.covering 50% of Indian Country). (CG)	Environmental assessments for Tribes. (cumulative). (CM)	*	*	N/A		*	*	*	*	N/A	*	193	AIEO	193	193	Tribes	100%	
801	Environmental programs will be implemented in Indian Country.	Indian country by Tribes. (Reporting APM)	*	*	N/A	*	*	*	*	*	N/A	*		AIEO			Actions		
802		Tribes agreeing to be partners with EPA on managing the environment in Indian country. (Reporting APM)	*	*	N/A	*	*	*	*	*	N/A	*		AIEO			Tribes		
803		EPA programs with specific Indian country commitments . (Reporting APM)	*	*	N/A	*	*	*	*	*	N/A	*		AIEO			Commitments		
	Goal 6: Reduction of Global and Cross-border Environment Objective 1: By 2005, reduce transboundary threats to hum Sub-Objective 1: By 2005, the population in the U.S. (Maxiv		sistent v	vith our	bilateral	and mu	Itilatera	treaty o	bligation	ns in the	se area	s, as wel	l as our	trust respon	sibility to	Tribes.			
		on Border Area (including Tribes) that is corred by adop	unto dri	nkina w	ator wa	ctowato	r colloct	on and	trootmor	t cuctor	ne will i		hv 1 E m	illion throug	h tha dasi	an and constru	otion of water	in	
		co Border Area (including Tribes) that is served by adeq	juate dri	nking w	ater, was	stewate	r collect	ion and	treatmer	nt syster	ns will i	ncrease	by 1.5 m	illion throug	h the desi	gn and constru	ction of water	in	
600	Increase the number of residents in the Mexico border area who are protected from health risks, beach pollution and damaged ecosystems from nonexistent and failing water and wastewater treatment infrastructure by providing improved water and wastewater service. (CG)	Number of additional people in the Mexico border area protected from health risks because of adequate water and wastewater sanitation systems funded through the Border Environmental Infrastructure Fund. (CM)	uate dr	nking w	ater, was	stewate	r collect	ion and	treatmer	nt syster	ns will i	ncrease	600	OWM	th the desi	gn and constru	Population (Thousands)	in 100%	
600	Increase the number of residents in the Mexico border area who are protected from health risks, beach pollution and damaged ecosystems from nonexistent and failing water and wastewater treatment infrastructure by providing improved	Number of additional people in the Mexico border area protected from health risks because of adequate water and wastewater sanitation systems funded through the Border Environmental Infrastructure Fund. (CM)											600	OWM	600	600	Population (Thousands)	100%	~t Ä
600 gli	Increase the number of residents in the Mexico border area who are protected from health risks, beach pollution and damaged ecosystems from nonexistent and failing water and wastewater treatment infrastructure by providing improved water and wastewater service. (CG) Sub-Objective 1.4: Restore and maintain the chemical, phy	Number of additional people in the Mexico border area protected from health risks because of adequate water and wastewater sanitation systems funded through the Border Environmental Infrastructure Fund. (CM)											600	OWM	600	600	Population (Thousands)	100%	~t Ä
	Increase the number of residents in the Mexico border area who are protected from health risks, beach pollution and damaged ecosystems from nonexistent and failing water and wastewater treatment infrastructure by providing improved water and wastewater service. (CG) Sub-Objective 1.4: Restore and maintain the chemical, phy self-sustaining populations.	Number of additional people in the Mexico border area protected from health risks because of adequate water and wastewater sanitation systems funded through the Border Environmental Infrastructure Fund. (CM) sical, and biological integrity of the Great Lakes Basin E Catalogued and publicized actions (partnerships or virtual elimination demonstration projects) initiated toward reduction challenges under BNS. (Reporting APM) Level I substances for which 1-2 toxic reduction activities are being implemented. (Reporting APM)	cosyste		cularly b	y reduc	ing the I	evel of t	oxic sub	stances	, by prot	tecting h	600 uman h	OWM ealth, restori	600 ng vital ha	600 abitats, and rest	Population (Thousands) oring and ma	100% ӈ	~t Ä
gli	Increase the number of residents in the Mexico border area who are protected from health risks, beach pollution and damaged ecosystems from nonexistent and failing water and wastewater treatment infrastructure by providing improved water and wastewater service. (CG) Sub-Objective 1.4: Restore and maintain the chemical, phy self-sustaining populations.	Number of additional people in the Mexico border area protected from health risks because of adequate water and wastewater sanitation systems funded through the Border Environmental Infrastructure Fund. (CM) sical, and biological integrity of the Great Lakes Basin E Catalogued and publicized actions (partnerships or virtual elimination demonstration projects) initiated toward reduction challenges under BNS. (Reporting APM) Level I substances for which 1-2 toxic reduction	cosyste	m, partic	cularly b	y reduc	ing the I	evel of t	oxic sub	stances	, by prot	tecting h	600 uman h	OWM ealth, restori	600 ng vital ha	600 libitats, and rest	Population (Thousands) oring and ma Actions	100% "à	~t Ä

Code	Annual Performance Goal (APG)	Annual Performance Measure (APM)	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	R8	R9	R10		APG/APM Originator	National Total	2001 Target	Unit	% Target Met	Comments
06		Cubic yards of contaminated sediment remediated in the Great Lakes.	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	50,000	GLNPO	50,000	50,000	Cubic yards	100%	
07		Acreage of total aquatic, wetland, riverine, and terrestrial Great Lakes habitat positively impacted. (Reporting APM)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	6,000	GLNPO	6,000	6,000	Acres	100%	
13		Amount of high-level PCBs used in electrical equipment nationally.	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Reaso nable progre ss.	GLNPO	0		Reasonable Progress		
14		Amount of mercury deliberately used nationally and released nationally from sources resulting from human activity.	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Reaso nable Progre ss	GLNPO	0		Reasonable Progress		
15		Amount of dioxins and furans (2,3,7,8-TCDD toxicity equivalents) released from sources resulting from human activity.	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Reaso nable progre ss.	GLNPO	0		Reasonable Progress		
01	Great Lakes ecosystem components will improve, including progress on fish contaminants, beach toxics, air toxics, and trophic status. (CG)	Great Lakes Ecosystem Indicator Indices with reports, addressing select fish contaminants, atmospheric deposition, limnology, biology, and sediments. (Reporting APM)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	11	GLNPO	11	11	Indices	100%	
09		Concentration trends of toxics (PCBs) in Great Lakes top predator fish. (CM)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Declini ng Trend.	GLNPO	0		Declining Trend		
10		Trend in number of monitored Great Lakes beaches closed one or more days as a result of pollution.	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	?	GLNPO	0		Declining Trend		
11		Concentration trends of toxic chemicals in the air. (CM	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Declini ng Trend	GLNPO	0		Declining Trend		
12		Trophic status and phosphorus concentrations in the Great Lakes. (CM)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Improv ing concen tration.	GLNPO	0		Improving Concentration		
	Goal 7: Expansion of Americans' Right to Know About Thei	r Environment																	
	Objective 1: By 2005, EPA will improve the ability of the Am disproportionally impacted and disadvantaged communities		ealth an	d the en	vironme	nt by in	creasing	the qua	lity and	quantity	of gene	ral envi	ronment	al education	outreach	and data availa	ability program	"	
		:. chnology, the Agency will provide the public with increa:	ised acc	ess to in	ntegrate	d, comp	orehensi	ve envir											"ò
	disproportionally impacted and disadvantaged communities Subobjective 1.2: By 2005, via the internet and improved ter	chnology, the Agency will provide the public with increasivironmental health threats by 2003; and information in a	ased acc an easily	ess to ii	ntegrate	d, comp I user fri	orehensi iendly m	ve envire anner.	onmenta	l data; o	nline ac	cess to	enforcei	ment and co	mpliance c				"ò
	disproportionally impacted and disadvantaged communities Subobjective 1.2: By 2005, via the internet and improved technique the environmental condition, stressors, and the er	chnology, the Agency will provide the public with increasivironmental health threats by 2003; and information in a blic to reduce exposure to specific environmental and hurser environmental information and tools will be available to	ased acc an easily uman he to all co	ess to ingress to ingr	ntegrate iible and ks by ma	d, comp I user fri aking cu citizens	orehensi iendly m urrent, ac	ve enviro	onmenta	I data; o	nline ac	cess to	enforcei	ment and co	mpliance d	data; informatio	on on the wate	rshed in	"ò "ò~ t
	disproportionally impacted and disadvantaged communities Subobjective 1.2: By 2005, via the internet and improved tei including the environmental condition, stressors, and the en Objective 2: By 2005, EPA will improve the ability of the pul	chnology, the Agency will provide the public with increasivironmental health threats by 2003; and information in a blic to reduce exposure to specific environmental and hurser environmental information and tools will be available to	ased acc an easily uman he to all co	ess to ingress to ingr	ntegrate- ible and ks by ma ies and themsel	d, comp I user fri aking cu citizens	orehensi iendly m urrent, ac	ve enviro	onmenta substance	I data; o	nline ac	cess to	enforcei	ment and co	mpliance d	data; informatio	on on the wate	rshed in	